

Childhood and Nature Eco-Critical Perspectives on Ruskin Bond's 'Angry River'.

Limbachiya Krupaben Ramanbhai, a lecturer, K. J. Somaiya Polytechnic, Mumbai,

Dr. Sunilkumar Shah, Professor, Department of English, Veer Narmad South
Gujarat University, Surat.

Abstract

Ruskin Bond's Angry River is a poignant novel that interweaves themes of youthful innocence with eco-critical ideas, highlighting the profound relationship between humanity and environment. The narrative is situated on a remote island and centers on Sita, a little girl residing with her grandparents. Bond illustrates nature as a dual force—nurturing and destructive—through Sita's encounters with the river, the island, and the Peepul tree, which are crucial to her emotional growth and development. This study analyzes Angry River through an eco-critical lens, emphasizing the influence of nature on the protagonist's youth, her developing comprehension of the natural environment, and the insights she gains on resilience, renewal, and interconnectedness.

Sita's early interaction with nature embodies ecological innocence—an instinctive, unblemished bond in which the natural world functions as both a refuge and a companion. The river, a pivotal metaphor in the narrative, initially provides life and nutrition but then evolves into a destructive force as it inundates the island. This transformation in the river's function reflects the protagonist's development, as she realizes that nature, though capable of devastation, also serves as a catalyst for renewal. The demise of the Peepul tree, a revered and emblematic entity, signifies the transience of youthful innocence and the natural environment. Through the cultivation of fresh mango trees, Sita exemplifies the eco-critical concept of renewal and the cyclical essence of existence. Through her experiences, Sita realizes that humans are not apart from nature but are profoundly interwoven with it. Krishan, a figure who assists Sita in overcoming her obstacles, articulates that humanity cannot endure without Mother Nature, reflecting the teachings of the natural world. This research contends that Angry River exemplifies the significance of cultivating childhood's intrinsic bond with nature, as this link promotes an awareness of ecological equilibrium and reciprocal respect for the environment.

Ruskin Bond's Angry River presents a moving tale concerning infancy, nature, and their profound symbiotic relationship. It urges readers to acknowledge the importance of maintaining and fostering the relationship between humanity and the environment for a sustainable and peaceful future.

Keywords

Childhood, ecological innocence, eco-criticism, nature, interconnectedness, resilience, renewal, Peepul tree.

[1] Introduction

Ruskin Bond, a distinguished author of modern Indian literature, had a remarkable talent for intricately integrating nature into his narratives, mirroring both the exterior environment and the psychological experiences of his characters. In his *Angry River*, Bond articulates a sad narrative that examines childhood innocence while prominently featuring nature, which significantly influences the protagonist's emotional and psychological development. This narrative, set in the Himalayan foothills, presents a realistic depiction of a little girl's confrontation with exterior natural forces and interior mental turmoil, so creating a compelling framework for the exploration of eco-critical subjects.

Eco-criticism, a literary movement that analyzes the interplay between literature and the natural environment, has increasingly emerged as an essential framework for comprehending how human experiences are influenced by nature. In *Angry River* nature serves not just as a backdrop for human endeavours but as a pivotal character that profoundly impacts the lives of human characters. Bond examines issues of survival, loss, and the interrelationship between humanity and their environment via the river, which represents both the destructive strength and the life-sustaining powers of nature. The river's metamorphosis from a tranquil stream to a tumultuous force reflects the emotional journey of the child protagonist, so underscoring the interconnectedness of human emotions and natural phenomena. The present paper examines the deep interconnection between the themes of infancy and environment in the narrative. Childhood, characterized by innocence, fragility, and wonder, is frequently distinguished by a profound connection to nature. This relationship is not only passive; it is depicted as an active, dynamic force that influences children's self-perception and their comprehension of the surrounding environment. In *Angry River* the protagonist's engagement with nature serves as both a process of exploration and a path to self-discovery. The river, first a source of comfort and familiarity, evolves into a menacing force, yet it persists as a constant in the girl's life, suggesting tenacity and continuity. This study examines how the natural environment in Bond's narrative serves as both a reflection of human emotions and a metaphor for wider ecological issues. The author's nuanced yet potent portrayal of the river's fury, followed by its final tranquility, underscores the fragile equilibrium of nature and its ability for both devastation and rejuvenation. The child protagonist's engagement with nature symbolizes humanity's conflict with environmental challenges, especially within the framework of the Anthropocene, characterized by human activities that progressively disturb ecological balance.

From an eco-critical perspective, *Angry River* transcends a mere narrative of youth and nature; it serves as an examination of human fragility, nature's destructive capacity, and the persistent necessity for a healthy coexistence between humanity and the environment. This paper examines the relations, elucidating how Bond's work represents the eco-critical approach and enhances our comprehension of the human-nature interaction within the literary tradition. This research also analyzes the environmental

symbolism and the influence of nature on the protagonist's journey to reveal the nuanced yet significant themes of youth and nature in Ruskin Bond's *Angry River*.

[2] **The Role of Nature as a Character and Symbol**

In Ruskin Bond's *Angry River*, nature surpasses its function as a mere backdrop, becoming an active entity that influences the narrative's events and the protagonist's emotional and spiritual odyssey. Nature, especially the river, transcends mere landscape; it serves as a catalyst for the narrative, embodying the interdependent link between humanity and the environment. Bond uses the river, trees, and the broader environment to examine themes of interconnectivity, resilience, and regeneration, providing readers with an eco-critical perspective on human-nature interaction. The river, a potent emblem of nature's duality, is at the core of *Angry River*. Initially, the river symbolizes constancy, serving as a source of nutrition and stability for Sita and her grandparents. Their uncomplicated lifestyle on the island, encircled by the river's waters, epitomizes a harmonious relationship with the natural environment. As the narrative progresses, the river assumes a more destructive role, inundating the island and compelling Sita to confront the overwhelming force of nature in its unrestrained ferocity. The river's evolution from a vital resource to a destructive entity serves as a devastating reminder of nature's unpredictability and the precariousness of human life. Bond's account illustrates this transformation as he states, "The river began to rise, and they were forced to evacuate their home" (Bond 45). This alteration in the river's conduct highlights the fundamental eco-critical issue that nature, although sustaining and nurturing, may also overpower mankind when least anticipated.

The flood's devastation results in the obliteration of the Peepul tree, an old and holy emblem for the island's inhabitants. The Peepul tree, esteemed for its spiritual importance in Hinduism, serves as a symbol for longevity and stability. The tree's demise is both tangible and emblematic, signifying the erosion of safety and the disruption of the natural environment. Bond sadly states, "The Peepul tree, which is nearly as old as the island itself, is on the verge of collapsing" (Bond 46). The tree's fall illustrates the overarching topic of impermanence in nature, telling the viewer that even the most resilient natural forces are susceptible to change and destruction. Notwithstanding this loss, Bond presents optimism for rejuvenation as Sita commences the sowing of mango seeds in the tree's stead. This act of planting represents the cyclical essence of life, wherein destruction signifies not an end but the inception of fresh development and opportunities. Through the cultivation of mango trees, Sita actively participates in restoring equilibrium and reinforcing the resilience of both human and natural life.

Beyond the river and the Peepul tree, Bond underscores nature's function as a vital, dynamic entity impacting the emotional and psychological growth of the characters. Sita's bond with the natural environment is apparent in her profound appreciation for the island's tranquility and splendor. The island, encircled by the river, functions as both a refuge and a site of seclusion. For Sita, nature serves not just as a means of sustenance but as a friend that fosters her growth and development. This bond is further exemplified by her interaction with Mumta, the rag doll she constructs as her sole company.

Mumta symbolizes Sita's need for connection, both with others and with the surrounding natural environment. The doll, however lifeless, symbolizes nature's capacity to offer comfort and consolation, much to the river and trees that nourish Sita's existence. The book states, "Since there were no other children on the island, Sita shared her secrets with the rag doll, whose name was Mumta" (Bond 48). Bond emphasizes nature's function as a psychological and emotional influence, offering camaraderie, comprehension, and a sense of belonging despite the lack of human connection.

Krishan, a pivotal character in Sita's odyssey, represents the intrinsic bond between humanity and environment. Upon rescuing Sita from the deluge, Krishna provides her with a crucial insight about nature's significance in the world. He articulates, "People are a part of the river, and the river is a part of Mother Nature, and we cannot survive without Mother Nature" (Bond 50). Krishan's statements convey the eco-critical theme of the narrative: humanity is not apart from nature but is profoundly interconnected with it. His assertion embodies a comprehensive perspective of the natural world, wherein people constitute a component of a broader ecological system that needs respect and comprehension. This concept contests the anthropocentric perspective that positions mankind as superior or distinct from nature, promoting instead a more cohesive relationship with the natural world, wherein human existence relies on the vitality and equilibrium of the ecosystem.

In *Angry River*, the river serves not just as a force of destruction but also as a symbol of resilience, vitality, and the perpetual cycles of nature. Sita endeavors to reconstruct her life post-flood by planting mango trees, so restoring equilibrium and representing rejuvenation. Bond states, "Sita spends the next few weeks working tirelessly on the new house, taking breaks only to tend to the newly planted mango trees" (Bond 52). Planting these trees symbolizes optimism, rejuvenation, and human perseverance throughout hardship. The mango trees epitomize life's capacity for regeneration following devastation, much to Sita's own transition from despair to hope. Bond emphasizes the eco-critical notion that nature encompasses not just survival but also restoration and development. The river, by its cyclical essence, exemplifies the notion that life, regardless of devastation, may perpetually reconstruct itself.

Utilizing symbols such as nature's dual capacity to nurture and annihilate, the Peepul tree's cycles of loss and regeneration, and the river's transformational influence, Bond constructs a story that prompts readers to contemplate their own connection with the environment. The narrative emphasizes the interdependence of humanity and nature, advocating for a more respectful and harmonious relationship with the natural environment. As Krishan states, "We cannot survive without Mother Nature" (Bond 50), emphasizing that humanity's destiny is fundamentally linked to the well-being of the planet. By depicting nature as both a nurturing force and a catalyst for destruction, Bond encourages readers to reflect on the fragile equilibrium that underpins all existence.

[3] **Childhood and Ecological Innocence: A Symbiotic Relationship**

In *Angry River*, Ruskin Bond offers a profound examination of childhood's intrinsic bond with environment, merging innocence with ecological consciousness in a manner that aligns with eco-critical tenets. The protagonist, Sita, embodies a youngster whose emotional development is closely intertwined with the natural environment. Through Sita's engagement with her environment—particularly the river, the island, and the flora and fauna—Bond underscores the innocence of childhood's connection with nature, depicting it as symbiotic, whereby both the child and nature mutually influence and sustain each other. This connection embodies a comprehensive eco-critical viewpoint that honours the simplicity and ecological insight inherent in children, which, when cultivated, promotes a lasting reverence for the environment.

Childhood, sometimes perceived as a time of pristine innocence, is shown as the ideal stage for individuals to engage with the natural world, characterized by curiosity and comprehension, free from societal demands and the intricacies of contemporary existence. In *Angry River*, Sita's relationship with environment symbolizes ecological innocence, portraying nature not just as a setting for human endeavours but as a dynamic force that shapes a child's emotional and psychological growth. In Sita's universe, nature serves as a teacher, friend, and supplier, imparting wisdom and peace. Bond meticulously develops this relationship, permitting the river, trees, and animals to play crucial roles in Sita's upbringing, demonstrating that childhood is, in fact, a period when humanity and the environment exist in nearly perfect harmony. Sita's realm embodies simplicity, with the natural surroundings serving as both a constant and a source of delight. Her youth revolves on the island, an isolated realm devoid of societal complexity, where the river runs as an eternal presence. For Sita, the river and the surrounding natural elements are not simple passive spectators; they actively influence her worldview. Bond states, "Sita spends her days fishing, farming, and collecting fruits from the trees that grow on the island" (Bond 45). This description depicts a child engaged with nature, her pursuits dictated not by external demands but by her connection to the earth. The natural environment plays a crucial role in Sita's formative years, cultivating a profound connection to her surroundings. Sita has a profound ecological consciousness in her interactions with the river, albeit it remains intuitive and unarticulated. She perceives the river as a steadfast companion, dependable and consistent until it surges tumultuously during a storm. This foreshadows nature's capacity for devastation, a lesson Sita comprehends when the river inundates, jeopardizing her life and the island she inhabits. Nonetheless, amidst this turbulence, the river retains its symbolic significance as both a source of life and an agent of transformation. Sita's encounter with the flood signifies a pivotal milestone in her emotional maturation, as she comes to comprehend that the forces of nature beyond human dominion. She sees nature not as an adversary, but as a force possessing its own rhythms and cycles that need respect. Bond conveys this change in perspective through Sita's contemplation of the incident: "She thinks that the river is so angry at humans" (Bond 47). As Sita grapples with the devastation caused by the flood, she recognizes that the powers of nature are intricate, embodying neither absolute benevolence nor malevolence. Bond's depiction of Sita's innocence facilitates a profound ecological comprehension that recognizes both the splendor and the wrath of nature.

The connection between infancy and ecological purity is shown by the Peepul tree, which symbolizes persistence and rootedness in the story. The tree, there on the island for as long as Sita recalls, symbolizes stability. It is a sanctuary where Sita may withdraw, contemplate, and seek solace. The tree symbolizes the safeguarding nature provides to children, serving as a shelter that enables Sita to experience safety and connection to the world. Bond states, "The Peepul tree, which is nearly as old as the island itself, is on the verge of collapsing" (Bond 46), indicating the transience of even the most resilient elements of the natural world. The demise of the Peepul tree symbolizes a stark truth of maturation: that childhood innocence and safety are ephemeral. The tree's obliteration amid the flood signifies a loss of permanency and security. In the wake of the flood, Sita sows mango seeds where the tree has fallen, representing rejuvenation and the cyclical essence of life. The act of planting new life underscores the notion that nature, akin to children, undergoes cycles of development, decay, and rebirth, providing a sense of optimism and continuity. Sita's emotional odyssey exemplifies the overarching eco-critical topic of the interdependence between humanity and the natural world. As she observes the devastation of her house and surrounding environment, she comes to see that humans are integral to a broader ecological system that encompasses not just natural components such as trees and rivers but also interpersonal connections. Krishan, who saves Sita from the deluge, provides insights that enhance Sita's comprehension of the world. He asserts, "People are a part of the river, and the river is a part of Mother Nature, and we cannot survive without Mother Nature" (Bond 50). Krishan's statements underscore that people are not distinct from nature but rather an essential component of it. His thought reflects the eco-critical perspective that human survival is fundamentally linked to the well-being of the natural environment. At this point, Sita's perception of nature transforms from viewing it as a simple external force to acknowledging her position within it. This transformation signifies a crucial juncture in her development, both emotionally and environmentally, as she starts to recognize the interconnectedness between humanity and nature.

The concept of ecological innocence is further enhanced by the connection between Sita and her rag doll, Mumta. Mumta, although being an inanimate object, assumes symbolic value as a companion to Sita, embodying her emotional connection to the surrounding natural environment. Sita confides her secrets and deepest thoughts to Mumta, so establishing a connection with her own emotions of isolation and fragility. Bond states, "Since there were no other children on the island, Sita shared her secrets with the rag doll, whose name was Mumta" (Bond 48). The doll symbolizes Sita's profound, unarticulated bond with nature, offering her a friendship that is simultaneously fictitious and grounded in the island's physical reality. This link to nature, including both living and non-living entities, underscores the innocence of childhood's bond with the environment, where the distinctions between fact and fantasy frequently blur. The issue of childhood innocence, examined through the perspective of eco-criticism, reveals a deeper comprehension of our life and our role within the natural world. Sita's experiences illustrate that a child's innate bond with environment, unblemished by adult complications, provides a perspective that is both pure and ecologically astute. As Sita transitions from innocence to a more sophisticated comprehension of nature's might, she embodies the fundamental fact that humanity and nature are inextricably linked. This acknowledgment cultivates an ecological

awareness grounded on respect, comprehension, and equilibrium. The demise of the Peepul tree and the devastation caused by the flood are not only terrible occurrences for Sita; they are pivotal experiences that cultivate her ecological consciousness and enhance her reverence for the powers of nature.

Angry River depicts infancy as a period of ecological purity, whereby youngsters such as Sita establish an unbreakable bond with nature. Through her encounters with the river, trees, and animals, Sita acquires the crucial understanding that nature, despite its sometimes destructiveness, is an integral component of life's cycles. Bond's depiction of infancy and environment transcends sentimentality; it serves as an imperative to acknowledge the inherent significance of nature in forming our emotional and ecological identities. Sita's embodiment of infantile innocence presents an optimistic paradigm for humanity's potential to coexist harmoniously with the natural world, cultivating profound reverence for the ecosystem that nourishes us all.

[4] Conclusion

Angry River by Ruskin Bond emphasizes the deep and interdependent connection between childhood and nature, demonstrating how innocence and ecological consciousness coexist during a child's developmental years. Through the character of Sita, Bond depicts nature not just as a physical setting but as a crucial influence on a child's emotional and psychological growth. Sita's relation with the river, the island, and the Peepul tree represents a pure relationship with nature, characterized by intuition, maternal qualities, and a profound integration with her identity. The story underscores the cyclical essence of existence, whereby destruction precedes rebirth, and accentuates the insights that children, through their purity and innocence, provide to adults regarding harmonious coexistence with the environment.

Sita's development in the narrative embodies a wider eco-critical theme: that humanity must strive to coexist harmoniously with nature, acknowledging its strength while valuing its beauty. The demise of the Peepul tree and the subsequent cultivation of new mango trees symbolize optimism and the tenacity of both nature and humans. Ultimately, *Angry River* serves as a sad reminder that the bond between humanity and the natural environment is vital for survival and emotional development. Sita's trip underscores the significance of ecological innocence in childhood and the necessity to safeguard and cultivate this bond for a sustainable and peaceful future.

References

Bond, Ruskin. *Angry River*. Red Turtle Ruppa, 2019.

Chakraborty, Niloy. "Ruskin Bond as a Writer of Millennial: A Critical Study of Bond's *The Blue Umbrella*." 9.8 (2022): n.pag.

Chandran, Dr A, and E Priscilla. "Emotional Intelligence: Social Competence in the Character Krishna in Ruskin Bond's *Angry River*". 2 n. pag.

Shruthi, S. R., and C. Shanmugasundaram. "An Ecocritical Reading of Ruskin Bond's *Angry River*." *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, vol. 44, no. 8, Aug. 2023, pp. 2066–2070.