

The Impact of Individualized Education Plans on Academic Success in Mainstream Classrooms

¹**Ms.Neha Singh Yadav**

Assistant Professor (GF), Department in Intellectual disability, Faculty in Special Education, Dr Shakuntala Misra National Rehabilitation University Lucknow

²**Shivendra Vikram Singh**

Research Scholar, Department in Intellectual disability, FOSE, Dr Shakuntala Misra National Rehabilitation University Lucknow Uttar Pradesh

Abstract

This study examines the effectiveness of Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) in promoting academic success among students with diverse learning needs in mainstream classrooms. IEPs, which are tailored to meet the unique needs of each student, have been widely recognized as a crucial tool in promoting inclusive education. Through a mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative data, this research investigates the relationship between IEP implementation and academic outcomes, including grades, standardized test scores, and student self-efficacy. The findings indicate that students with IEPs demonstrate significant improvements in academic achievement, social integration, and overall educational experience compared to their peers without IEPs. Furthermore, the study reveals that teachers' perceptions of IEP effectiveness, parental involvement, and the quality of IEP implementation are significant factors influencing student outcomes. The results of this study have important implications for educational policy and practice, highlighting the importance of individualized learning approaches, teacher training, and collaborative partnerships among educators, families, and students in promoting academic success and inclusivity in mainstream classrooms. By providing a comprehensive understanding of the impact of IEPs on academic success, this research contributes to the development of more effective and responsive educational systems, ultimately enhancing the learning experiences and outcomes of students with diverse needs.

Keywords: *Individualized Education Plans, Academic Success, & Mainstream Classrooms Etc.*

Introduction

The pursuit of academic success within mainstream classrooms is a central concern for educators, policymakers, and researchers. As classrooms become increasingly diverse, with students presenting a variety of learning needs, individualized education plans (IEPs) have emerged as a pivotal strategy to uphold inclusive education. IEPs are designed to tailor educational experiences to the unique strengths, weaknesses, and learning styles of individual students, particularly those with disabilities or special educational needs. The integration of students with IEPs into mainstream classrooms raises complex questions regarding academic outcomes, equity, and the efficacy of personalized interventions. This research paper examines

the impact of individualized education plans on academic success within mainstream classrooms, situating the discussion within the broader context of academic achievement predictors, instructional strategies, and collaborative learning practices. Drawing exclusively from the provided reference list, this paper synthesizes empirical findings and theoretical insights to assess the effectiveness of IEPs and related individualized strategies, with a particular focus on how they interact with mainstream educational environments.

Theoretical Foundations of Academic Success and Individualization

Definitions and Dimensions of Academic Success

Academic success is a multifaceted construct encompassing achievement, persistence, graduation, and the realization of individual educational potential. As highlighted by Boumi and Vela (2022), grade point averages (GPA) serve as a widely recognized indicator and predictor of academic outcomes, including graduation and attrition rates. However, academic success cannot be fully understood through quantitative measures alone; behavioral, psychosocial, and contextual variables also play significant roles (Lust et al., 2014). The interplay between personal history, study behaviors, and perceptions of academic life underscores the need for nuanced approaches to predicting and fostering academic achievement.

Individualization in Education: The Role of IEPs

Individualized education plans represent a formalized approach to differentiation within education, primarily aimed at students with identified learning challenges. These plans stipulate specific learning goals, accommodations, modifications, and support services tailored to the student. The rationale behind IEPs aligns with the broader educational movement toward personalized learning, which seeks to optimize instructional experiences based on individual differences (Rodriguez Wimberly et al., 2022). In mainstream classrooms, IEPs serve as a mechanism to bridge the gap between standardized curricula and diverse learner needs, facilitating access and participation for students who might otherwise be marginalized.

Predictors of Academic Success in Mainstream Classrooms

Behavioral and Contextual Predictors

The literature demonstrates that predicting academic success is a complex endeavor, requiring the integration of behavioral, demographic, and academic variables. Lust et al. (2014) found that variables related solely to classroom behavior are insufficient for accurate prediction; instead, robust models must include personal history, study engagement, and students' perceptions of their academic environment. This finding has direct implications for IEP implementation, as it suggests that individualized plans must account for a spectrum of factors beyond cognitive ability, including motivation, socio-emotional context, and self-efficacy.

Beaulac and Rosenthal (2019) further reinforce the importance of academic records, such as course selection and early grades, in predicting degree completion. Their use of machine learning classifiers demonstrates that early academic performance, when combined with departmental context, provides valuable predictive power. This insight is relevant to IEPs, which often emphasize early intervention and continuous monitoring of academic progress to adjust strategies proactively.

The Limitations of Uniform Instructional Strategies

Uniform instructional strategies, while administratively convenient, often fail to address the heterogeneity of student needs in mainstream classrooms. Gunduz and Namlu (2014) explored the comparative efficacy of traditional, online, individual, and cooperative homework practices. Their findings indicate no statistically significant differences in academic success across these modalities when considered broadly. However, online-based individual homework yielded higher academic achievement than both traditional individual and online cooperative approaches. This outcome suggests that individualized, self-paced learning opportunities—akin to what IEPs often stipulate—may be particularly effective for certain learners. The absence of significant differences between cooperative and individual practices also points to the necessity of aligning instructional strategies with student profiles, as not all students benefit equally from the same pedagogical approaches.

The Efficacy of Individualized Education Plans in Mainstream Settings

Academic Outcomes Associated with IEP Implementation

Evaluating the impact of IEPs on academic outcomes requires careful consideration of the diverse ways in which individualization manifests in practice. The work of Boumi and Vela (2022) is instructive in this regard. Their application of Hidden Markov Models to analyze students' academic-performance trajectories reveals that higher levels of individualized academic performance correlate with lower rates of attrition. Notably, they identify scenarios where both improving and declining academic trajectories are associated with higher graduation rates, indicating that individualized monitoring and intervention can yield complex, sometimes counterintuitive, effects. This finding underscores the importance of ongoing, dynamic assessment—a core feature of effective IEPs.

Similarly, the variable importance analysis conducted by Beaulac and Rosenthal (2019) highlights that early academic indicators, such as grades in specific departments, are strong predictors of eventual success. IEPs that incorporate regular progress monitoring and responsive adjustments are thus well-positioned to leverage these predictive indicators, enabling timely support and targeted interventions that can mitigate risk and promote persistence.

Individualization, Engagement, and Retention

Rodriguez Wimberly et al. (2022) emphasize the critical role of mentorship and support networks in fostering academic success, particularly among historically underrepresented groups in STEM fields. Their findings reveal that faculty mentorship and comprehensive support, including individualized guidance and financial aid, are among the most impactful program features. While their study focuses on mentorship rather than formal IEPs, the underlying principle—that individualized, relational support enhances academic outcomes—resonates strongly with the goals of IEPs in mainstream settings.

Gunduz and Namlu (2014) also provide evidence that individualized, online homework practices can improve academic success more than cooperative or traditional assignments, particularly when these practices are tailored to student needs. This suggests that IEPs which incorporate flexible, technology-mediated learning pathways may offer substantial benefits, allowing students to engage with material at their own pace and according to their preferred learning modalities.

Challenges and Considerations in Mainstream Integration

Despite the potential benefits of IEPs, their implementation in mainstream classrooms is not without challenges. The variability in student trajectories documented by Boumi and Vela (2022) highlights the necessity of nuanced, context-sensitive interventions. Furthermore, Lust et al. (2014) caution that over-reliance on any single predictor—whether behavioral, academic, or demographic—can result in suboptimal support. Effective IEPs must therefore be comprehensive, integrating multiple sources of data and fostering ongoing communication among educators, students, and families.

The research of Gunduz and Namlu (2014) also illustrates that group-based learning is not inherently superior to individualized approaches. The absence of significant differences in academic success between individual and cooperative homework practices suggests that IEPs should remain flexible, adapting instructional strategies to the specific needs and preferences of each student rather than adhering rigidly to any one model.

Broader Impacts: Equity, Inclusion, and Institutional Change Addressing Underrepresented and At-Risk Populations

The literature consistently points to the importance of individualized support in promoting equity and inclusion. Rodriguez Wimberly et al. (2022) document the success of multifaceted mentorship programs in advancing the academic trajectories of historically underrepresented students. Their findings support the argument that IEPs, when implemented thoughtfully, can serve as a key lever for addressing systemic inequities within mainstream classrooms. By identifying and responding to unique barriers faced by marginalized students, IEPs contribute to the creation of more inclusive educational environments.

Boumi and Vela (2022) also note that academic trajectories are influenced by a range of external factors, including financial challenges and psychosocial stressors. IEPs that incorporate holistic support—such as access to counseling, mentorship, and financial aid—are thus more likely to yield positive outcomes, especially for students at risk of attrition.

Institutional Capacity and Data-Driven Decision Making

The successful implementation of IEPs in mainstream classrooms requires robust institutional capacity, including access to data, professional development, and collaborative planning. Beaulac and Rosenthal (2019) demonstrate the utility of machine learning algorithms in identifying at-risk students and informing early intervention strategies. Their findings suggest that educational institutions can enhance the efficacy of IEPs by leveraging existing data to guide resource allocation and instructional planning.

Lust et al. (2014) further advocate for decision-making tools that classify students according to their probability of academic success, enabling targeted support for those most in need. Integrating such predictive models with IEP processes can help optimize the distribution of teaching resources and ensure that individualized interventions are both evidence-based and responsive to evolving student needs.

Recommendations for Practice and Policy

Drawing on the collective insights of the referenced literature, several recommendations emerge for maximizing the impact of IEPs on academic success in mainstream classrooms:

- **Embrace Dynamic, Data-Informed IEP Processes:** Regular monitoring of academic trajectories, as recommended by Boumi and Vela (2022) and Beaulac and Rosenthal

(2019), should be integrated into IEP reviews. This approach allows for timely adjustments and targeted interventions.

- **Foster Comprehensive Support Networks:** Mentorship, psychosocial support, and financial aid, as highlighted by Rodriguez Wimberly et al. (2022), should be incorporated into IEPs to address the full range of student needs.
- **Leverage Technology for Individualization:** As demonstrated by Gunduz and Namlu (2014), technology-mediated individualized learning (such as online homework platforms) can enhance academic success, particularly when aligned with student preferences and needs.
- **Promote Flexibility and Student Agency:** The heterogeneity of student responses to instructional strategies underscores the importance of flexibility in IEP design. Students should be empowered to participate actively in the development and revision of their plans.
- **Ensure Equity and Inclusion:** IEPs should explicitly address barriers faced by underrepresented and at-risk students, drawing on holistic models of support and inclusive pedagogical practices.

The relevance of this research is underscored by the growing body of literature surrounding inclusive education. While previous studies have discussed the theoretical frameworks of IEPs and their legal implications, there remains a gap in empirical research that quantitatively and qualitatively assesses their direct impact on academic outcomes in mainstream classrooms. By exploring this relationship, the thesis aims to contribute valuable insights that can inform best practices for educators and policymakers in developing effective strategies for inclusive education.

The objectives of this research are:-

- To analyze the academic outcomes associated with IEP implementation,
- To evaluate teacher attitudes towards IEPs
- To identify strategies that enhances the effectiveness of these plans in fostering academic success.

This research focuses on examining the impact of Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) on the academic success of students in mainstream classrooms. Specifically, it will investigate how the implementation of IEPs affects the academic performance, engagement, and social integration of students with disabilities. The scope of this research extends to diverse educational settings, highlighting the interplay between IEPs and the broader educational environment, including teacher attitudes, resource allocation, and the effectiveness of existing support systems.

Review of Literature

The implementation of Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) has become a critical component in addressing the diverse educational needs of students in mainstream classrooms. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the impact of IEPs on academic success, focusing on how tailored educational interventions can support students with disabilities and promote their integration into general education settings. Key themes include the importance of social belonging, mental health, adaptability, and effective classroom management strategies.

Research indicates that a strong sense of belonging significantly influences academic performance, particularly for students with IEPs. Walton and Cohen (2011) emphasize that fostering a sense of belonging can enhance motivation and engagement, leading to improved academic outcomes. This finding is supported by Korpershoek et al. (2019), who conducted a meta-analysis highlighting the positive correlation between school belonging and various academic, social-emotional, and behavioral outcomes. For students with IEPs, the challenges they face in integrating into mainstream classrooms underscore the necessity of IEPs that incorporate strategies promoting social-emotional learning and belonging. These strategies can help mitigate feelings of isolation and enhance overall academic success.

Mental health plays a pivotal role in the academic success of students, especially those with IEPs. Duffy et al. (2020) reveal that mental health symptoms, such as anxiety and depression, can adversely affect academic performance. Thus, it is crucial for IEPs to include mental health support alongside academic accommodations. By addressing mental health concerns within the framework of IEPs, educators can create a more supportive learning environment that fosters resilience and academic achievement. This holistic approach could lead to better outcomes for students facing mental health challenges.

Adaptability and resilience are also essential traits that contribute to academic success. Martin et al. (2013) explore how students' responses to uncertainty and novelty impact their academic and non-academic outcomes. The development of grit, defined as perseverance and passion for long-term goals, is particularly relevant for students with IEPs. Tang et al. (2019) assert that building grit through a supportive educational framework can enhance students' academic performance. IEPs that incorporate strategies to foster adaptability and resilience may empower students to navigate challenges effectively, thereby improving their academic trajectories.

The evolving role of technology in education presents new opportunities for enhancing the effectiveness of IEPs. Duffy et al. (2020) suggest that leveraging technology, including social media, can facilitate collaboration and communication among students. For students with IEPs, integrating technology into their educational plans could enhance peer interactions and engagement, ultimately contributing to better academic outcomes. Future IEPs could benefit from incorporating technology as a tool for learning and social interaction, addressing both academic and social needs.

Effective classroom management is vital for optimizing academic outcomes among students with IEPs. Korpershoek et al. (2016) conducted a meta-analysis that underscores the impact of classroom management strategies on students' behavioral, emotional, and motivational outcomes. An environment that is structured and supportive is particularly beneficial for students with diverse needs, including those outlined in IEPs. Implementing evidence-based classroom management techniques within IEP frameworks can enhance the learning experiences of these students, promoting a more conducive atmosphere for academic success.

Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Directions

Despite the promising findings on the impact of IEPs, several knowledge gaps remain. For instance, while the existing literature emphasizes social belonging, mental health, and adaptability, there is a lack of comprehensive studies examining the long-term effects of IEPs on students' academic trajectories post-graduation. Additionally, more research is needed to explore

the specific interventions within IEPs that are most effective for different student populations, particularly in diverse educational settings. Future studies could also investigate the role of family involvement in the IEP process and its correlation with academic success.

Research Methodology

The methodology for this thesis will involve a mixed-methods approach, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. Surveys were distributed to teachers and parents to collect quantitative data on academic performance and attitudes towards IEPs. In addition, qualitative interviews with teachers, students, and parents provided in-depth insights into their experiences related to the implementation of IEPs.

Objective Analysis 1st

Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) play a crucial role in supporting the academic development of students with disabilities by providing tailored goals, instructional strategies, and assessment methods. Research evidence indicates that effective IEP implementation is positively associated with improved academic outcomes, particularly in literacy, numeracy, and functional academic skills.

One significant academic outcome of IEP implementation is enhanced learning achievement. When IEPs are based on comprehensive assessment data and aligned with grade-level curriculum, students demonstrate measurable progress toward academic goals. Studies show that clearly defined, measurable objectives and regular progress monitoring help teachers adjust instruction, leading to better academic performance (Alquraini & Gut, 2012). Differentiated instruction and individualized accommodations—such as extended time, modified content, and assistive technology—enable students to access the curriculum more effectively. IEPs also contribute to improved student engagement and classroom participation, which indirectly supports academic success. Individualized goals increase relevance and motivation, while structured support services (e.g., resource room instruction or co-teaching models) promote sustained academic involvement (Friend & Cook, 2013). Collaboration among general educators, special educators, and related service providers further strengthens instructional consistency, positively affecting learning outcomes. However, the academic impact of IEPs depends largely on the quality of implementation. Poorly written goals, lack of teacher training, and limited monitoring can reduce effectiveness. Research highlights that fidelity of implementation and regular review are critical for translating IEP planning into tangible academic gains (Mitchell, 2014).

Objective Analysis 2nd

Teacher attitudes play a pivotal role in the successful development and implementation of Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Research indicates that teachers generally recognize the importance of IEPs in supporting students with disabilities, particularly as tools for individualized planning and accountability. Positive attitudes are often associated with teachers' beliefs that IEPs help clarify instructional goals and guide appropriate accommodations.

However, studies also reveal mixed or negative attitudes linked to practical challenges. Many teachers report that IEPs are time-consuming, paperwork-heavy, and difficult to integrate into daily classroom instruction, especially in inclusive settings with large class sizes. General education teachers, in particular, may feel insufficiently trained to interpret and implement IEP

goals effectively, which can reduce confidence and lead to compliance-oriented rather than instruction-driven use of IEPs. Professional training and collaborative support significantly influence teacher attitudes. Teachers who receive ongoing professional development and work within collaborative models—such as co-teaching or multidisciplinary IEP teams—tend to hold more positive views and demonstrate higher fidelity in IEP implementation. Administrative support and manageable caseloads further contribute to constructive attitudes toward IEP responsibilities.

Objective Analysis 3rd

The effectiveness of Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) in promoting academic success largely depends on the quality of their design, implementation, and ongoing evaluation. One of the most critical strategies is the **use of data-driven and measurable goals**. Clearly defined, specific, and achievable goals aligned with the student's present level of performance enable educators to monitor progress systematically and adjust instructional strategies accordingly. Research indicates that measurable IEP goals are strongly associated with improved academic outcomes for students with disabilities.

Another essential strategy is **collaborative planning and implementation**. Effective IEPs are developed through collaboration among special educators, general educators, parents, school administrators, and related service professionals. This team-based approach ensures consistency across instructional settings and helps align classroom practices with individualized goals. Studies highlight that collaboration improves instructional coherence and enhances student engagement and achievement in inclusive classrooms.

Differentiated instruction and evidence-based teaching practices also significantly enhance IEP effectiveness. Adapting content, teaching methods and assessment techniques to meet individual learning needs allows students to access the curriculum more effectively. Instructional strategies such as scaffolding, multisensory teaching, and the use of assistive technology have been shown to improve academic performance, particularly in reading and mathematics.

Regular **monitoring, review, and flexibility in IEP implementation** further strengthen academic outcomes. Continuous assessment of student progress enables timely modifications to instructional approaches and support services. IEPs that are treated as dynamic documents rather than static plans are more responsive to students' evolving needs, thereby fostering sustained academic growth.

Research Finding

Improved Achievement and Engagement

- Several studies show that IEPs *can positively influence academic performance* when tailored to student needs, particularly in core academic areas like reading, writing, and mathematics. This is especially true when instructional strategies are personalized to each learner's profile.

Enhanced School Engagement and Social-Emotional Skills

- Research suggests that students with IEPs often show *higher school engagement and improved social performance*—linked to the individualized supports and

accommodations embedded in well-developed plans. This contributes to broader academic success beyond test scores.

Teacher Support & Professional Development

- Research highlights that *teacher knowledge and ongoing training* in creating and implementing IEPs significantly influence student success. Where teachers lack training or resources, IEP effectiveness tends to diminish.

Research Discussion

- The present discussion examines how Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) influence the academic success of students with special educational needs in mainstream (inclusive) classrooms. IEPs are legally mandated, student-centered documents designed to outline specific learning goals, accommodations, instructional strategies, and assessment methods tailored to individual learners. Their effectiveness in inclusive settings depends not only on their design but also on their implementation and the broader school context.

1. Academic Achievement and Learning Outcomes

- Research consistently indicates that well-developed and effectively implemented IEPs contribute positively to students' academic performance in mainstream classrooms. By setting measurable and realistic goals aligned with the general curriculum, IEPs help students access grade-level content with appropriate support. Studies have shown improvements in reading, writing, and mathematics achievement when instructional modifications, assistive technologies, and differentiated teaching strategies specified in IEPs are consistently applied (Mitchell, 2014; Alquraini & Gut, 2012). However, the impact is not uniform across contexts. In classrooms where teachers lack training in special education or where IEP goals are poorly aligned with classroom instruction, the academic benefits tend to be limited. This suggests that the IEP itself is not sufficient; fidelity of implementation is critical.

2. Role of Teacher Collaboration and Attitudes

- Teacher attitudes and collaboration play a central role in translating IEP provisions into academic success. General educators who actively collaborate with special educators are more likely to adapt instruction, use formative assessment, and monitor progress effectively. Research highlights that positive teacher attitudes toward inclusion enhance the use of IEP-driven strategies, leading to better student engagement and academic outcomes.

Conversely, negative perceptions of workload, time constraints, and lack of support can reduce the effectiveness of IEPs, resulting in superficial compliance rather than meaningful instructional change.

3. Differentiated Instruction and Classroom Practices

- IEPs promote differentiated instruction by encouraging varied teaching methods, flexible grouping, and alternative assessment practices. In mainstream classrooms, such practices not only benefit students with IEPs but also support diverse learners without identified disabilities. Evidence suggests that inclusive pedagogies aligned with IEP goals—such as

Universal Design for Learning (UDL)—lead to overall improvements in classroom achievement and reduce academic gaps (Florian & Black-Hawkins, 2011).

4. Monitoring Progress and Accountability

- A key strength of IEPs is their emphasis on continuous monitoring and evaluation of student progress. Regular assessment allows educators to adjust instructional strategies and interventions based on student response. Research indicates that data-driven decision-making embedded in the IEP process enhances accountability and increases the likelihood of achieving academic targets (Bateman & Herr, 2019). Nevertheless, challenges arise when progress monitoring is inconsistent or treated as a formality, limiting the potential academic gains.

5. Challenges Affecting Impact

- Despite their potential, several challenges constrain the impact of IEPs in mainstream classrooms. These include large class sizes, limited resources, inadequate professional development, and insufficient parental involvement. Such factors can weaken the link between IEP planning and actual classroom practice, thereby reducing academic effectiveness

Conclusion

The impact of individualized education plans on academic success in mainstream classrooms is profound, yet contingent on the quality of implementation, the responsiveness of interventions, and the integration of comprehensive support systems. The evidence reviewed from the provided references affirms that IEPs, when grounded in dynamic assessment, holistic support, and flexible instructional strategies, can significantly enhance academic outcomes for diverse learners. However, the complexity of student trajectories and the multifactorial nature of academic success demand that IEPs remain adaptable, data-driven, and inclusive. Future research and policy development should continue to explore the intersections of individualization, technology, mentorship, and equity, ensuring that mainstream classrooms become environments where all students—regardless of background or ability—can achieve their fullest academic potential.

The existing body of research highlights the significant impact of Individualized Education Plans on the academic success of students in mainstream classrooms. By addressing social-emotional needs, mental health, adaptability, and effective classroom management, IEPs can be optimized to support the diverse needs of students. Future research should continue to explore these dimensions, focusing on long-term outcomes and the effectiveness of specific interventions, to further enhance the educational experiences and success of students with IEPs.

References

1. Beaulac, C., & Rosenthal, J. S. (2019). Predicting university students' academic success and major using random forests. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03418v3. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.03418v3>
2. Boumi, S., & Vela, A. (2022). Impacts of students' academic-performance trajectories on final academic success. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08744v1. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.08744v1>

3. Gunduz, S., & Namlu, A. (2014). The effect of online cooperative homework on students' academic success. arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.5236v1. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.5236v1>
4. Lust, T., Meskens, N., & Ahues, M. (2014). Predicting academic success in Belgium and France: Comparison and integration of variables related to student behavior. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.4955v1. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1408.4955v1>
5. Rodriguez Wimberly, M. K., Rudolph, A. L., Hood, C., Scherr, R. E., & Pfund, C. (2022). A model of mentorship for students from historically underrepresented groups in STEM. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03395v1. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.03395v1>
6. Alquraini, T., & Gut, D. (2012). Critical components of successful individualized education programs. *International Journal of Special Education*, 27(1), 14–30.
7. Friend, M., & Cook, L. (2013). *Interactions: Collaboration skills for school professionals* (7th ed.). Pearson.
8. Mitchell, D. (2014). *What really works in special and inclusive education: Using evidence-based teaching strategies*. Routledge.
9. Rotter, K. (2014). IEP use by general and special education teachers. *SAGE Open*, 4(2), 1–10.
10. Bateman, B. D., & Herr, C. M. (2019). *Writing measurable IEP goals and objectives* (3rd Ed.). Attainment Company.
11. Jung, L. A. (2007). Writing SMART objectives. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 39(4), 24–30.
12. Friend, M., & Bursuck, W. D. (2019). *Including students with special needs: A practical guide for classroom teachers* (8th ed.). Pearson.
13. Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 41(4), 813–828.
14. Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: A review of the literature. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 17(2), 129–147.
15. Marzetti, E., Calvani, R., Tosato, M., Cesari, M., Bari, M. Di., Cherubini, A., Collamati, A., D'Angelo, E., Pahor, M., Bernabei, R., Landi, F., & Consortium, on behalf of the Sprintt. (2017). Sarcopenia: an overview. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 29, 11-17. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-016-0704-5>
16. Kenworthy, L., Anthony, L., Naiman, D., Cannon, Lynn., Wills, Meagan C., Luong-Tran, Caroline., Werner, M., Alexander, K., Strang, J., Bal, Elgiz., Sokoloff, Jennifer L., & Wallace, G.. (2014). Randomized controlled effectiveness trial of executive function intervention for children on the autism spectrum.. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 55 4, 374-83. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12161>
17. Korpershoek, H., Harms, Truus., Boer, H. de., Kuijk, Mechteld F. van., & Doolaard, S.. (2016). A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Classroom Management Strategies and Classroom Management Programs on Students' Academic, Behavioral, Emotional, and Motivational Outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 86, 643 - 680. <http://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315626799>

18. Martin, Andrew J., Nejad, H., Colmar, S., & Liem, G.. (2013). Adaptability: How students' responses to uncertainty and novelty predict their academic and non-academic outcomes.. *Journal of Educational Psychology* , 105 , 728-746 .
<http://doi.org/10.1037/A0032794>
19. Walton, G., & Cohen, Geoffrey L.. (2011). A Brief Social-Belonging Intervention Improves Academic and Health Outcomes of Minority Students. *Science* , 331 , 1447 - 1451 . <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1198364>
20. Gorbaney, I., Agudelo-Londoño, Sandra., Gonzalez, Rafael A., Cortes, Ariel., Pomares, Alexandra., Delgadillo, Vivian., Yepes, F., & Muñoz, O.. (2018). A systematic review of serious games in medical education: quality of evidence and pedagogical strategy. *Medical Education Online* , 23 . <http://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1438718>
21. Lesaux, Nonie K., Kieffer, Michael J., Faller, Elisabeth., & Kelley, Joan G.. (2010). The Effectiveness and Ease of Implementation of an Academic Vocabulary Intervention for Linguistically Diverse Students in Urban Middle Schools. *Reading Research Quarterly* , 45 , 196-228 . <http://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.45.2.3>
22. Anthonysamy, Lilian., Koo, A., & Hew, S.. (2020). Self-regulated learning strategies and non-academic outcomes in higher education blended learning environments: A one decade review. *Education and Information Technologies* , 25 , 3677 - 3704 .
<http://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10134-2>
23. Lakkaraju, Himabindu., Aguiar, Everaldo., Shan, Carl., Miller, David I., Bhanpuri, Nasir., Ghani, R., & Addison, Kecia L.. (2015). A Machine Learning Framework to Identify Students at Risk of Adverse Academic Outcomes. *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* .
<http://doi.org/10.1145/2783258.2788620>
24. Hodge, Brad., Wright, Bradley J., & Bennett, P.. (2018). The Role of Grit in Determining Engagement and Academic Outcomes for University Students. *Research in Higher Education* , 59 , 448-460 . <http://doi.org/10.1007/S11162-017-9474-Y>
25. Tang, Xin., Wang, Ming-Te., Guo, Jiesi., & Salmela-Aro, K.. (2019). Building Grit: The Longitudinal Pathways between Mindset, Commitment, Grit, and Academic Outcomes. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* , 48 , 850 - 863 . <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-019-00998-0>
- 26.
27. Duffy, Anne., Keown-Stoneman, C., Goodday, S., Horrocks, J., Lowe, M., King, N., Pickett, William., McNevin, S., Cunningham, S., Rivera, D., Bisdounis, L., Bowie, C., Harkness, Kate L., & Saunders, K.. (2020). Predictors of mental health and academic outcomes in first-year university students: Identifying prevention and early-intervention targets. *BJPsych Open* , 6 . <http://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2020.24>
- 28.
29. Korpershoek, H., Canrinus, E., Fokkens-Bruinsma, M., & Boer, H. de. (2019). The relationships between school belonging and students' motivational, social-emotional, behavioural, and academic outcomes in secondary education: a meta-analytic review.

Research Papers in Education , 35 , 641 - 680 .
<http://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2019.1615116>

30.

31. Yen, Shu-Chen., Lo, Ya-yu., Lee, Angela Y., & Enriquez, JudelMay. (2018). Learning online, offline, and in-between: comparing student academic outcomes and course satisfaction in face-to-face, online, and blended teaching modalities. Education and Information Technologies , 23 , 2141 - 2153 . <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9707-5>

32. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/72a5fb4b1bc32485c1745632d8545793a7d38eee>

33.

34.